IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA THOMASVILLE DIVISION

BILLY EUGENE BROWN. *

Petitioner, * CASE NO. 6:05-CV-54 HL

28 U.S.C. § 2255

VS. *

CASE NO. 6:03-CR-16 HL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent. *

ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY AND MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Petitioner Brown filed a Notice of Appeal (Doc. 54) of this Court's Judgment denying his Motion To Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct his Sentence Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 2255 (Doc. 52). The Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. 49) was adopted on November 20, 2006, without objection from Petitioner Brown. Brown also filed a motion to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* (Doc. 55).

Although Petitioner Brown did not file an Application For Certificate of Appealability, his Notice of Appeal will be treated as such. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). However, a certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Brown's claims in his Motion To Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct his Sentence were found to be both factually and legally without merit. The failure to object to the Magistrate Judge's findings of fact

prohibits an attack on appeal of the factual findings adopted by the district court except on

grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. United States v. Slay, 714 F.2d 1093, 1095 (11th

Cir. 1983).

Petitioner Brown has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a

constitutional right. His Application for Certificate of Appealability is therefore DENIED.

The petitioner attached to his Motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis a prison

account statement wherein the authorized institution officer certified that Petitioner Brown's

average monthly balance for the past six months was \$552.46 as of November 30, 2006, with

total deposits of \$3,567.76 for the last six months. Petitioner is found to be financially able

to pay the required filing fee for his appeal. Petitioner's Motion to proceed in forma

pauperis is therefore DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 22nd day of January, 2007.

s/ Hugh Lawson

HUGH LAWSON

2